

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION



Cause No.: 4:18CV66

BRIAN J. ROBINSON,)	In a removal from the 380th District
Petitioner,)	Court of Collin County, Texas
)	State case number: 380-80427-2016
v.)	("State of Texas v. Brian J. Robinson")
)	Judge Benjamin N. Smith, presiding
STATE OF TEXAS and OKTURIA L.)	
"WYNDE" BIGGE aka OKTURIA)	AND
COLLINS aka OKTURIA BIGGE-)	
ROBINSON aka OKTURIA ROBINSON)	In a removal from the 211 th District
aka OKTURIA NEAL aka OKTURIA)	Court of Denton County, Texas
ROSS aka OKTURIA L. ROSS,)	State case number: 2012-30427-211
Respondents.)	Judge Brody Shanklin, presiding

Notice of Constitutional Questions to Texas State Statutory Schemes

Comes now Petitioner, Brian J. Robinson, noticing the Court and parties in regards to the formal raising of three (3) challenges to the alleged unconstitutionality of two (2) sets of state statutory schemes of the State of Texas, as each are further described below, and stated thusly:

THREE (3) DISTINCT CHALLENGES TO TEXAS STATE STATUTORY SCHEMES

Petitioner brings three (3) distinct general constitutional challenges with regards to the Texas Family Code, in respect to any state statute thereof (e.g., TX Family Code §§ 105.001, 105.005, 105.006, et seq., 153.002, 153.004, 153.005, et seq., §§ 156.006, 156.101, 156.102, et seq., and variously similar many others, even entire Chapters of the Family Code) that ostensibly pretend to [A] mistakenly authorize any impingement or disturbance of any natural citizen parent’s pre-existing, superior, and full child custody rights *without first finding* that same parent adjudicated guilty of (very) serious parental unfitness by clear and convincing evidence as well established

constitutional prerequisite, see also [B] the directly-related constitutional challenge that any such statutes mistakenly authorize any impingement, disturbance or alteration of child custodial rights under any preponderance evidentiary standard when it is well established that any impact upon the custodial rights over a minor require the clear and convincing evidentiary standard, and the clear issue [C] in respect to any state statute ostensibly authorizing, and/or any actual pattern or practice of, Texas “family court” judicial officers being involved with any Title IV-D child support matters *of their own individually-respective counties*, due to those same directly clear conflicts of fiduciary interests in being direct financial beneficiaries of the Title IV-D system.

In summary restatements, those three (3) constitutional challenges are:

- a) The family courts of the State of Texas are routinely acting in wholly unlawful basis by pretending to issue out ostensible “orders” of “child support” and “visitation” and so forth, i.e., various secondary orders violating various Liberty and Property issues, all based upon a primary “custody” order that the given family court never even had any proper and valid subject matter jurisdiction for, because no court or other agency of the State of Texas may ever acquire jurisdiction over a parent-child relationship *until first meeting* that constitutional prerequisite – *first* finding very serious parental unfitness;
- b) Regardless of the above manifestly-repugnant constitutional infirmity, no court or unit or actor or agent of the State of Texas may ever attempt to alter any child custodial rights betwixt any parties (public and/or private) upon any mere preponderance standard but must *always* use the clear and convincing evidentiary standard to do any such thing;
- c) All judicial officers of *any particular, given* Texas county are precluded by law from any prior, present, and/or future involvement in any cases *of their own same county involving child support*, due to the express statutory conflicts of all such judges, their

own respective county, their county clerk, etc., in all being direct beneficiaries of, and each with those corresponding fiduciary interests in, the very same Title IV-D system.

Hence, for any one (1) or all three (3) of the above reasons of manifest unconstitutionality, every single present similarly-situated “family court” case of the courts of the State of Texas is, and clearly must be, **absolutely void for lack of due process... *thrice* directly on their faces.**

The State of Texas has been an active party within the interrelated state court cases, vis-à-vis intervening as regards the same false “child support” matters – including via wrongful criminal prosecutions causing multiple false arrests and wrongful incarcerations of my person and body.

The State of Texas has both a right and duty to defend the constitutionality of its statutes.

Accordingly, the Clerk is now to formally certify the fact of said constitutional challenges to said statutory schemes and Title IV-D system unto the Texas Attorney General. FRCvP 5.1(b).


REQUIRED F.R.Cv.P. Rule 5.1(a)(1) LISTING:

The required listing of papers herein relevant to the discussion of this issue include:

- a) the filing entitled, Memorandum of Law Clarifying Established Federal Jurisdiction;
- b) the filing entitled, Notice of Petition; and, Verified Petition for Warrant of Removal, and the same as may be amended; and,
- c) all other filings entered within these matters, *passim*.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Petitioner requests the Court now formally certify the same constitutional challenges in question to the Texas Attorney General, pursuant to F.R.Cv.P. Rule 5.1(b) and 28 USC § 2403(a), and prays for all further relief true and just within the premises.

Respectfully submitted,



Brian J. Robinson

6613 East Lovers Lane, Apt 154
Dallas, TX 75214
Tel: (972) 854-9389
Email: bjrstl1@gmail.com
Pro Se Petitioner Party of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify: that on this 25th day of January, 2018, a true and complete copy of the above notice of constitutional questions, by depositing the same via first class postage prepaid mail, USPS or equivalent postal carrier, has been duly served upon the following:

(Resondent State of Texas)
c/o Attorney General Kenneth Paxton
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, TX 78711-2548

(District Attorney of Collin County)
Greg Willis
2100 Bloomdale Road, STE 2004
McKinney, TX 75071

(Ass't District Attorney of Collin County)
Ryan King
2100 Bloomdale Road, STE 200
McKinney, TX 75071

(Collin Co. Comm. Supervision/Corrections)
c/o Yolán Williams
2100 Bloomdale Road, STE 12262
McKinney, TX 75071


(Defense counsel for Brian)
Daniel J. Peters
The Law Office of Daniel J. Peters, PLLC
6440 N. Central Expressway, STE 618
Dallas, TX 75206

(Respondent)
Okturia L. Ross
803 River Heights Drive
Mount Juliet, TN 37112

(State civil court counsel for Okturia)
James I. Harlan, SBN #09010700
Bank of Texas Building
500 Grapevine HWY, STE 344
Hurst, TX 76054-2708

(State civil court counsel for Brian)
Tiffany Kamuche, SBN #24078768
Kamuche Law Firm, PLLC
8330 Lyndon B. Johnson FWY, STE 765
Dallas, TX 75243

and, I further certify that the mailing sent to certain recipient listed above, Attorney General Ken Paxton on behalf of the State of Texas, was sent via certified mail with return receipt requested.



Brian J. Robinson